Volume 3, Issue 1

Technical Analysis of 2012 Female Europe Championship and Olympiad Games Handball Performances

Hikmet VURGUN[1], Turan IŞIK [2], Çağatay ŞAHAN [3], Orhan IŞIK [4]

ABSTRACT

Especially, handball was started to playing quick and dynamic with alterations conducting in the last ten years. So, it was purposed to examine factors which effect on winning at altered and improved handball game. Statistical data of female handball team existing 2012 Europe Championship and 2012 London Olympiad Games were collected by using official statistics of Europe and International Handball Federations. Data belonging mean attack number, throw efficiency, shot efficiency, fastbreak goal in each competition, fast-break efficiency, goalkeeper efficiency, saved shot by goalkeeper, turnover number per match, 2 seconds punishment numbers in each match, position shot efficiency (wing, pivot, back field, parallel diving, fast-break and 7 meter shots) were used. SPSS 15.0 for Windows package program was used in analysis of data, independent t test and correlation analysis were performed. In result of committed analysis; it was established that winning teams' shot activity, throw activity, fast-break goal number, goalkeeper activity, saved ball numbers, throw ratio and fast-break throw ratio were higher than lost teams. It was thought that doing trainings to be improved these factors effecting on winning contribute to competition performance positively.

Handball, Position Activity, Technical Parameter Analysis, Keywords: Europe Championship, Olympiad Games

Celal Bayar University Physical Education and Sport High School,

[2]

Manisa

[1]

Celal Bayar University Physical Education and Sport High School, Manisa

[3]

Celal Bayar University Physical Education and Sport High School, Manisa

[4]

Trakya University Kırkpınar Physical Education and Sport High School, Edirne turan_20032003@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Performance analysis in team sports is a basic tool for trainers to provide reliable and valid information related both their own teams and rival teams. Effective competition analysis is required to identify how much degree important of obtained information and whether it can be used to improve performance or not (Sampaio and Janeira, 2003). Technical analysis in team sports contributes to preparation of training and competition plans at particular rates. Using technical analysis methods are seen a requirement in terms of providing reliable and valid information related their own teams and rivals and technical analysis came to supporter situation of trainer occupation. Especially, correlating athletes' competition and training performances with technical analysis results facilitates identification of way going to success. At the same time, thanks to technical analysis; trainers can organize training approaches in constitution of special game systems and exercises to be used in trainings by considering these technical analyses (Janeria et al, 1996). Also, technical analysis enables transformation to positive conditions of factors such as player selection and season schedule effecting trainer' success directly. Because handball game is played more effective and faster respect to past, technical analysis carry weight in term of how positional requirements are changed and observation of what is required. Nowadays thanks to technological innovations regarding match analysis, reaching technical analysis data of top-level teams is gotten

easy for training and scientists studying in sciences field. Scientific studies are required regarding how these data are used by national and club teams, and providing of new aspects. **Bangsbo and Peitersen (2000)** advocate that game systems of successful teams are examined by researchers and these systems are come to a level to be accessed by national and club teams. Identification of physical, mental, technical and tactic performance level of athletes, establishment of success and unsuccess cases, and development of training models are materialized **(Vurgun, 2010).**

In result of handball competition analysis, establishment of handball game requirements and identification of new game systems will shed other clubs. Therefore, the purpose of this research is to do technical analysis and establish relationships between factors determining loss and winning of Female Handball Competitions in 2012 Europe Championship and 2012 London Olympic Games.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

In this study, data were obtained by using Europe Handball Federation (EHF) and International Handball Federation (IHF) official statistical data of parameters including total team statistics of Female Handball Competitions in 2012 Europe Championship and 2012 London Olympic Games. EHF and IHF use same data collection method in each two championships. Technical parameters are mean attack number, throw efficiency, shot efficiency, fast-break goal in each competition, fast-break efficiency, goalkeeper efficiency, saved shot by goalkeeper, turnover number per match, 2 seconds punishments numbers in each match, position shot efficiency (wing, pivot, back field, parallel diving, fast-break and 7 meter shots). SPSS 15.0 for Windows Package Program was used in analysis of data obtained. Independent t test and correlation analyses were applied to analyze data obtained.

RESULTS

Table 1. Comparing Means of Winning and Losing Teams Participated to Europe Championship

Parameters	Winning Case	N	Х	SD	t	р
Attack number	Winning	47	62,68	6,115	245	,731
(number)	Losing	47	63,13	6,422	-,345	
Throw officions (%)	Winning	47	43,34	5,939	4,101	,000
Throw efficiency (%)	Losing	47	37,57	7,592		
Shot officionay (%)	Winning	47	56,43	7,110	4,573	000
Shot efficiency (%)	Losing	47	49,00	8,564		,000
Fast-break goal	Winning	47	3,91	1,943	2 002	005
(number)	Losing	47	2,83	1,672	2,902	,005
Fast-break efficiency	Winning	47	76,72	23,259	1 092	291
(%)	Losing	47	70,77	29,664	1,005	,281
Goalkeeper efficiency	Winning	47	36,40	8,941	3,582	,001
(%)	Losing	47	30,19	7,840		
Saved shot by	Winning	47	13,26	3,554	2 102	020
goalkeeper (number)	Losing	47	11,70	3,611	2,102	,038
7 m ab at matia (%)	Winning	47	8,70	4,832	412	,681
	Losing	47	9,09	4,133	-,415	
\mathbf{P} ivet shot ratio (%)	Winning	47	14,74	6,492	1 0 9 0	,050
PIVOL SHOL FALIO (%)	Losing	47	12,17	6,048	1,707	
Wing shot notice (0()	Winning	47	18,13	7,534	-1,004	,318
Wing shot ratio (%)	Losing	47	19,85	9,034		
Parallel diving shot	Winning	47	7,04	5,167	,965	,337
ratio (%)	Losing	47	5,96	5,725		
Fast-break shot ratio	Winning	47	10,49	4,481	2 407	014
(%)	Losing	47	8,06	4,927	2,497	,014
Sottor shot ratio $(\%)$	Winning	47	40,79	10,946	1 2 4 0	,184
Setter shot ratio (%)	Losing	47	44,04	12,558	-1,340	
Time punishment	Winning	47	6,43	3,063	940	,392
(number)	Losing	47	5,83	3,631	,000	
Turnover number per	Winning	47	14,49	3,587	1 10F	220
match (number)	Losing	ing 47 15,40		3,893	-1,105	,239

Table 1 was examined; it was observed that there were significant differences in parameters of throw efficiency, shot efficiency, fast-break goal, goalkeeper efficiency, saved shot by goalkeeper, fast-break shot ratio (p<0,05). Winning teams have higher means in these parameters. Winning teams have more higher means in parameters of fast-break efficiency, pivot shot ratio, parallel diving shot ratio and time punishment, notwithstanding losing teams have more higher means in parameters of turnover number per match, setter shot ratio, wing shot ratio, 7m shot ratio, attack number, but there is no significant difference among these parameters (p<0,05).

TOJRAS

Attack number (number) Winning 38 61,61 6,512 -,221 ,826 Throw efficiency (%) Winning 38 44,39 6,043 4,964 ,000 Shot efficiency (%) Winning 38 58,26 7,307 4,178 ,000 Shot efficiency (%) Winning 38 51,16 7,518 4,178 ,000 Fast-break goal (number) Winning 38 51,16 7,518 4,178 ,000 Fast-break goal (number) Winning 38 79,74 18,297 ,419 ,677 Goalkeeper efficiency (%) Using 38 37,55 10,365 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 4,174 ,004
(number) Losing 38 61,92 5,920 7,221 ,020 Throw efficiency (%) Winning 38 44,39 6,043 4,964 ,000 Shot efficiency (%) Winning 38 37,29 6,430 4,178 ,000 Shot efficiency (%) Winning 38 58,26 7,307 4,178 ,000 Fast-break goal (number) Winning 38 51,16 7,518 4,178 ,000 Fast-break efficiency (%) Losing 38 3,05 1,800 2,630 ,011 Fast-break efficiency (%) Losing 38 79,74 18,297 ,419 ,677 Goalkeeper efficiency (%) Uinning 38 37,55 10,365 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 4,171 ,004
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Initiow enriciency (%) Losing 38 37,29 6,430 4,904 ,000 Shot efficiency (%) Winning 38 58,26 7,307 4,178 ,000 Fast-break goal (number) Winning 38 51,16 7,518 4,178 ,000 Fast-break goal (number) Winning 38 4,455 2,728 2,630 ,011 Fast-break efficiency (%) Winning 38 79,74 18,297 ,419 ,677 Goalkeeper efficiency (%) Using 38 37,55 10,365 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 419 ,004
Winning 38 58,26 7,307 4,178 ,000 Fast-break goal (number) Winning 38 51,16 7,518 4,178 ,000 Fast-break goal (number) Winning 38 4,45 2,728 2,630 ,011 Fast-break efficiency (%) Winning 38 79,74 18,297 ,419 ,677 Goalkeeper efficiency (%) Winning 38 37,55 10,365 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 419 ,004
Shot enriciency (%) Losing 38 51,16 7,518 4,178 ,000 Fast-break goal (number) Winning 38 4,45 2,728 2,630 ,011 Fast-break efficiency (%) Using 38 79,74 18,297 ,419 ,677 Goalkeeper efficiency (%) Winning 38 37,55 10,365 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 500 500
Fast-break goal (number) Winning 38 4,45 2,728 2,630 ,011 Fast-break efficiency (%) Using 38 3,05 1,800 2,630 ,011 Fast-break efficiency (%) Winning 38 79,74 18,297 ,419 ,677 Goalkeeper efficiency (%) Winning 38 37,55 10,365 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 5 5
(number) Losing 38 3,05 1,800 2,030 ,011 Fast-break efficiency (%) Winning 38 79,74 18,297 ,419 ,677 Goalkeeper efficiency (%) Winning 38 37,55 10,365 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 10 10
Fast-break efficiency (%) Winning 38 79,74 18,297 ,419 ,677 Goalkeeper efficiency (%) Winning 38 37,55 10,365 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 10,365
(%) Losing 38 77,79 22,068 ,419 ,077 Goalkeeper efficiency (%) Winning 38 37,55 10,365 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 22,068 1,017
Goalkeeper efficiency (%) Winning 38 37,55 10,365 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 3,731 3,731 3,731
(%) Losing 38 30,89 9,299 2,947 ,004 Saved shot by Winning 38 13,03 3,731 1
Saved shot by Winning 38 13.03 3.731
goalkeeper (number) Losing 38 11,37 3,183 2,084 ,041
7m shot ratio (%) Winning 38 8,76 4,907 565
Losing 38 9,47 5,530
Bivet shot ratio (%) Winning 38 15,21 7,788 1,175 244
Losing 38 13,24 6,828 1,175 ,244
Wing shot ratio (%) Winning 38 14,42 6,246 974 285
Losing 38 15,61 5,549 -,874 ,385
Parallel diving shot Winning 38 10,97 5,810 1 012 214
ratio (%) Losing 38 9,53 6,616 1,013 ,314
Fast-break shot ratio Winning 38 12,32 6,156 2,168 022
(%) Losing 38 9,37 5,687 2,188 ,033
Setter shot ratio (%) Winning 38 38,11 11,434 2,005 040
Losing 38 43,39 10,561 -2,095 ,040
Time punishment Winning 38 7,68 6,905 1 001 220
(number) Losing 38 6,42 3,576 1,001 ,520
Turnover number per Winning 38 14,79 4,966 4 and
match (number) Losing 38 16,92 4,868 -1,890 ,063

Table 2. Comparing Means of Winning and Losing Teams Participated to Olympiad Games

Table 2 was examined; it was observed that there were significant differences in parameters of throw efficiency, shot efficiency, fast-break goal, goalkeeper efficiency, saved shot by goalkeeper, fast-break shot ratio and setter shot ratio (p<0,05). Winning teams have higher means in these parameters except for setter shot ratio. Besides, winning teams have more higher means in parameters of fast-break efficiency, pivot shot ratio, parallel diving shot ratio and time punishment, notwithstanding losing teams have more higher means in parameters of attack number, 7m shot ratio, wing shot ratio, turnover number per match, but no significant difference was found among these parameters (p<0,05).

	Correlation	Throw efficien cy (%)	Shot efficien cy (%)	Fast- break goal (numbe r)	Goalke eper efficien cy (%)	Saved shot by goalkeep er (number)	Pivot shot ratio (%)	Fast- break shot ratio (%)
Shot efficiency	r	,812						
(%)	р	,000						
Fast-break goal	r	,471	,330					
(number)	р	,000	,001					
Goalkeeper	r	-,167	-,142	-,036				
efficiency (%)	р	,108	,172	,730				
Saved shot by	r	-,046	-,029	,047	,848			
goalkeeper (number)	р	,661	,782	,651	,000			
Pivot shot ratio	r	,090	,148	,084	-,038	-,059		
(%)	р	,391	,154	,420	,715	,569		
Fast-break shot	r	,299	,259	,771	,022	-,006	-,057	
ratio (%)	р	,003	,012	,000	,830	,956	,582	
Setter shot ratio	r	-,355	-,392	-,239	,138	,137	-,498	-,196
(%)	р	,000	,000	,021	,184	,187	,000	,058

Table 3. Examining Relationship among Parameters Effecting Teams' Winning the Match (Europe Championship)

Table 4.	Examining Relationship	among Parameters	Effecting Teams'	Winning the Match	(Olympic
Games)		-	-	-	

	Correlation	Throw efficienc y (%)	Shot efficien cy (%)	Fast- break goal (number)	Goalkee per efficien cy (%)	Saved shot by goalkeeper (number)	Pivot shot ratio (%)	Fast- break shot ratio (%)
Shot efficiency (%)	r	,838						
Shot entitiency (%)	р	,000						
Fast-break goal	r	,324	,209					
(number)	р	,004	,071					
Goalkeeper efficiency (%)	r	,157	,207	,269				
	р	,177	,073	,019				
Saved shot by	r	,025	,113	,186	,730			
goalkeeper (number)	р	,827	,330	,107	,000			
Pivot shot ratio (%)	r	,069	,166	-,096	,282	,170		
	р	,555	,152	,410	,013	,141		
Fast-break shot	r	,206	,105	,861	,221	,091	-,159	
ratio (%)	р	,074	,366	,000	,055	,436	,170	
Setter shot ratio	r	-,213	-,426	-,202	-,284	-,165	-,497	-,230
(%)	р	,065	,000	,080	,013	,154	,000	,046

Table 3 is examined; while there is linear relationship among shot efficiency, fast-break goal number and fast-break shot ratio with throw efficiency, there is opposite way relationship between throw efficiency and setter shot ratio (p<0,05). While there is linear relationship among fast-break goal number and fast-break shot ratio with shot efficiency (p<0,05), there is opposite way relationship between shot efficiency and setter shot ratio (p<0,05). While there is linear relationship between fast-break goal number and fast-break shot ratio (p<0,05). While there is linear relationship between fast-break goal number and fast-break shot ratio (p<0,05). While there is linear relationship between fast-break goal number and fast-break shot ratio (p<0,05), there is opposite way relationship between fast-break goal number and setter shot ratio (p<0,05). There is linear relationship between efficiency, saved shot number by goalkeeper (p<0,05). Besides, there is opposite way relationship between pivot shot ratio and setter shot ratio (p<0,05).

When Table 4 is examined; there is linear relationship among shot efficiency and fast-break goal number with throw efficiency (p<0,05), besides there is opposite way relationship between throw efficiency and setter shot ratio (p<0,05). There is linear relationship among fast-break shot ratio and goalkeeper efficiency with fast-break goal number (p<0,05). While there is linear relationship between saved shot number by goalkeeper and pivot shot ratio with goalkeeper efficiency (p<0,05), there is opposite way relationship between goalkeeper efficiency and setter shot ratio (p<0,05). Besides, there is opposite way relationship between pivot shot ratio and setter shot ratio (p<0,05), similarly there is opposite way relationship between fast-break shot ratio and setter shot ratio (p<0,05).

DISCUSSION

This study was applied to identify factors effecting winning the match in handball game. Basic parameters such as throw efficiency percent of team and offence player, goalkeeper efficiency, fast-break efficiency and time punishment were examined.

Throw efficiency is an important parameter effecting result (success) in team sports. It is required that shot should be accomplished with goal because defense, doing as team, or salvation by goalkeeper and all actions materialized in offence are gained value. Because every shot becoming goal is write in favour of team as score, it has an important impact on competition result (**Gruig et al., 2006**). In this study, it was established that winning teams' throw efficiency, shot efficiency, fast-break and setter shot efficiency were higher than losing teams (both Europe Championship and Olympic Games teams). In own study, Vurgun (2010) established that teams being successful have better throw efficiency as other teams and emphasized that high of throw efficiency is an important factor. Research results conducted by Vurgun advocate this study results. Although a lot of study was conducted on handball game, there is too little research regarding the effect of throw efficiency on competition performance. In this context, it is thought that this research provide a source literature.

It was found that winning teams' goalkeeper efficiency and saved shot by goalkeeper are higher than losing teams in this research. It is means that offence is unsuccessful that shots are ended in goalkeeper (Vurgun, 2010). In a study comparing goalkeeper efficiency of final four and 9th-12th range teams, it was established that goalkeeper efficiency of teams entering final four was higher than 9th-12th range teams (**Toborsky, 2008**). In another study, it was found that goalkeeper efficiency of gaining teams was higher than losing teams, too (Vurgun, 2010). Being well of goalkeeper efficiency pave the way for winning the match by affecting performance of rival team. Certainly, goalkeeper efficiency isn't sole factor effecting winning the match. Sending ball, saved by goalkeeper, to game and obtaining score are important.

As known, fast-break is defined as scoring easily. As to another definition is that offence is accomplished successfully before rival defense don't organize **(Yiannakos et al., 2005)**. Fast-break goals obtained as soon as possible via effective pass affect competition success positively. No significant difference was established between fast-break efficiency winning and losing teams in this study. Though winning and losing teams have similar number fast-break efficiency, it was established that fast-break goal numbers of winning teams are higher than losing teams significantly. Considering this knowledge, it can be said that doing fast-break activity haven't contribution the teams directly, fast-break activity' transformation to score is what is important. According to Calin (2010), fast-break is used effectively by every team aspiring high level success in handball.

Fast-break organization is progressed within the match suddenly. Besides, some team uses this offence technique as a tactic (Tuma, 2008). Especially, the fact that Europe Teams use fast-break effectively as other continent is sample this case (Johanson, 2004). A lot of study existing in literature illustrated that competition performances of teams using fast-break effectively is more well (Vurgun, 2010; Çelikbilek, 2006; Gruiç et al, 2006; Ohnjec, 2003). Calin (2010) established that per 23 of all goals was obtained via fast-break in World Championship committed in China. As seen, fast-break is an important factor regarding winning the match. So, fast-break has been concern source for all teams (Bilge, 2012). Conducted this research result shows parallelism with research results existing in literature.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

This research results emphasizes that fast-break is an important factor regarding winning the match in handball game. The fact that goalkeeper efficiency and ball number saved by goalkeepers are drawn the attention as important factors regarding winning the match, too. The most important factors effecting winning the match are throw and shot efficiency. Because, the fact that every offence is ended with success is related to effective shot performance. Upper extremity power trainings may be contribute to improvement of shot efficiency, these type trainings should be practiced for improvement of shot efficiency. Also, the fact that players should improve different shot techniques usage skills is required. Role of goalkeepers in competition success shouldn't be forgotten and especially goalkeeper selection should be elaborated during talent selection and they are regarded in their development period. The fact that cooperation practices as well as positioning, timing and correct move practices are applied is thought to be useful. Considering the effect of fast-break on competition success, it can be advocated the fact that weighting practices intended to fast-break is required. Handball game is progressed to tactic percept based on fast-break. Therefore, teams wanting success in handball should follow this progress.

REFERENCES

Bangsbo, j Peitersen, B 2000 Soccer systems & strategies Human Kinetics -49pp, USA

Bilge, M. (2012) Game Analysis of Olympic, World and European Championships in Men's Handball. Journal of Human Kinetics. 2012: (35): 109-118

Calin R. The analysis of the efficiency of using fast breaks in female handball during the World Championship in China, 2009. *Sci Movement Health*, 2010; 2; 594-599

Gruiç I, Vuleta D, Milanoviç D. Performance indicators of teams at the 2003 Men's World Handball Championship in Portugal. *Kines*; 2006: 38: 2: 164-175

Janeria MA, Borge S, Tavares F, Sampaio J. (1996) 3. World Congress of Notational Analysis of Sport. Activity profile in team sport. An exploratory study in basketball and handball. Antalya.

Johansson B, Spate D. Analysis of the Olympic Tournament (Men). World Handball Magazine 2004; 3: 4-37

Ohnjec K, Vuleta D, Milanoviç D, Gruiç I. Performance indicators of teams at the 2003 World Handball Championship for women in Croatia. *Kines*; 2008: 40: 1: 69-79

Sampaio, J. and Janeira, M. (2003) Statistical analyses of basketball team performance: understanding team's wins and losses according to a different index of ball possessions. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport 3, 40-49

Taborsky, F. (2008). Cumulative indicators of team playing performance in handball (OlympicGamesTournaments2008).EHFPeriodical.Availableat:http://home.eurohandball.com/ehf_files/Publikation/WP_Taborsky_OCGChina.pdf;accessed on: 06.03.2011.

Vurgun, H. (2010). New analysis programme suggestion given by means of the computerized enviroment to be able to evaluate the effect of understanding of fast play that has high tecnical effectiveness in handball to a match result, Doctoral Thesis, Ege Uni. Health Sci Ins, Izmir

Yiannakos, A., Sileloglou, P., Gerodimos, V., Triantafillou, P., Armatas, V., Kellis, S. (2005). Analysis and comparison of fast break in top level handball matches. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport, 5(3), 62-72.